Determining the Isotopic Composition of Nitrate in the River Daugava and Loads of Nitrogen to the Gulf of Riga
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Abstract. The Daugava or Zapadnaya (Western) Dvina River belongs to the Baltic Sea basin. The length of the river is 1,005 km with a drainage area of 87,900 km². It crosses three countries: Russia (323 km and 18,500 km²), Belarus (328 km and 33,100 km²) and Latvia (352 km and 24,700 km²). Water samples were collected at three points with the following coordinates: Kraslava, Daugavpils, and Riga and distance from the river mouth 320, 255, and 20 km respectively. Discharge measurements by use of acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP) from a moving boat were also performed in the locations indicated above. Nitrates were extracted from water samples using the ‘ion-exchange resin method’ which involves extracting NO₃⁻ from freshwater and converting it into solid silver nitrate, which is then analysed for ¹⁵N/¹⁴N and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O ratios by IRMS. Prior to passing water through an anion-exchange resin precipitation of O-bearing contaminants (mainly sulphate and phosphate) with barium chloride was carried out. The δ¹⁵NO₃⁻ values of riverine samples varied from 7.1 to 8.6 ‰ in Kraslava, from 7.2 to 8.3 ‰ in Daugavpils, and from 8.1 to 9.0 ‰ in Riga and displayed significant differences between the three sampling points.
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I INTRODUCTION

Like the Earth’s water, nitrogen compounds cycle through the air, aquatic systems, and soil. However, unlike water, these compounds are being injected into the environment in ever increasing quantities. In doing so, humans alter the global nitrogen cycle, causing possible grave impacts on biodiversity, global warming, water quality, human health, and even the rate of population growth in developing nations. In natural environments, the availability of nitrogen is often limited by the rate of N-fixation. Nitrogen availability is one of the main controls on productivity and is an important factor regulating biodiversity [1]. Presently, N input from human sources, chiefly N-fixing crops, synthetic fertilizers, and burning of fossil fuels, approximately equals the input from natural nitrogen fixation [2]. The increased N input from anthropogenic sources causes eutrophication of lakes, streams, and coastal waterways, acidification of susceptible environments, and degradation of drinking water quality [3].

Nitrogen and oxygen isotope ratios of nitrate provide a powerful tool to investigate nitrate sources and cycling mechanisms. The analysis of nitrate for both δ¹⁵N and δ¹⁸O allows improved discrimination among potential sources and reaction mechanisms [4, 5]. In our time, δ¹⁵N–NO₃⁻ and δ¹⁸O–NO₃⁻ in nitrate can be measured according to three analytical methods [6]: two ‘denitrifier methods’ requiring Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry (IRMS) analysis of N₂O gas generated by bacterial or chemical means, and a procedure known as the ‘ion-exchange resin method’ whereby NO₃⁻ is extracted from freshwater and converted into solid silver nitrate (AgNO₃) that is analysed by IRMS [7]. Minor modifications have been adopted by some to ease the sample preparation [8], one of the most significant changes being the precipitation of O-bearing contaminants (mainly sulphate and phosphate) with barium chloride (BaCl₂) prior to passing water through an anion-exchange resin so that AgNO₃ is ready for both δ¹⁵N and δ¹⁸O analyses [9].

The objectives of the research proposal are to use isotope data for nitrate as a tool for determining nitrate sources in river systems, while also evaluating how natural variation in water discharge can influence seasonal changes of nutrient loads and isotopic values.

II MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Sample preparation

The Daugava or Zapadnaya (Western) Dvina River belongs to the Baltic Sea basin. The length of the river is 1,005 km with a drainage area of 87,900 km². It crosses three countries: Russia (323 km and 18,500 km²), Belarus (328 km and 33,100 km²) and Latvia (352 km and 24,700 km²). Water samples were collected at three points with the following coordinates: Kraslava, Daugavpils, and Riga and distance from the river mouth 320, 255, and 20 km respectively. Discharge measurements by use of acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP) from a moving boat were also performed in the locations indicated above. Nitrates were extracted from water samples using the ‘ion-exchange resin method’, which involves extracting NO₃⁻ from freshwater and converting it into solid silver nitrate, which is then analysed for ¹⁵N/¹⁴N and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O ratios by IRMS. Prior to passing water through an anion-exchange resin precipitation of O-bearing contaminants (mainly sulphate and phosphate) with barium chloride was carried out. The δ¹⁵NO₃⁻ values of riverine samples varied from 7.1 to 8.6 ‰ in Kraslava, from 7.2 to 8.3 ‰ in Daugavpils, and from 8.1 to 9.0 ‰ in Riga and displayed significant differences between the three sampling points.
is 1,005 km with a drainage area of 87,900 km². It crosses three countries: Russia (323 km and 18,500
km²), Belarus (328 km and 33,100 km²) and Latvia (352 km and 24,700 km²). Mean annual Daugava
River water runoff is 710 m³ s⁻¹. Mean annual riverine loads of N–NO₃⁻ is 27.4 thousand tons per year at
discharge 23.27 km³ yr⁻¹ [10, 11].

Water samples were collected at three points with the following coordinates: Kraslava (55°53'22"N; 27°09'59"E), Daugavpils (55°51'41"N; 26°31'16"E), Riga (56°54'18"N; 24°11'01"E) and distance from the river mouth 320, 255, and 20 km respectively. Discharge measurements by use of acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP) from a moving boat were also performed in the locations indicated above. Samples were collected with 2 litres HDPE bottles in the middle of the river at 1 m depth, the parallel were collected three samples.

In first, NO₃⁻ concentrations are measured to determine how much water needs to be processed to retain 100–200 µmol of nitrate (i.e. 6.2–12.4 mg NO₃⁻) on the anion exchange resin. The sample water is then filtered through a 0.45 µm nylon membrane to remove particles that might clog the resin. In reference method [7] for the removal of O-bearing contaminants to the sample added of 4 mL of 1 M BaCl₂ (large excess) to precipitate sulphate (up to 4000 µmol) and phosphate to a lesser extent (levels typically low in sub-surface water), stored at 4°C overnight, filtered through a 0.2 µm nylon membrane. Sulphate removal is crucial because sulphate has a higher affinity for the resin than nitrate (according to the resin manufacturer). We modified method and added BaCl₂ after NO₃⁻ was stripped from the columns.

Sample passed through the anion exchange resin column (2 mL Bio-Rad AG1-X8, 200–400 mesh in Cl⁻ form, capacity of 1200 meq mL⁻¹, pre-conditioned by dripping through 4 mL of 1 M HCl and rinsed) at a flow rate of 500–1000 mL h⁻¹ is achieved by adjusting the stopcock on the separatory funnel. Resin is then rinsed and column is filled with deionized water, and stored at 4°C until later elution. The resin was kept wet at all times. This steps completed in the laboratory within 48 hours of collection [7].

The NO₃⁻ is stripped from the columns by five 3 mL increments of 3 M HCl passed through the column (eluant kept chilled to minimise volatilisation of HNO₃), each increment kept in the column for 30 seconds before slowly blowing the column dry, rinsing (whole step carried out in the dark) [9]. Eluant immediately neutralised by slow addition of about 6 g of silver oxide (three different batches of high-grade Ag₂O heavily contaminated with nitrate were previously washed [7]) until pH reached 5–6 (checked with pH-paper), filtration through a 0.2 µm nylon membrane to remove excess Ag₂O and silver chloride (AgCl), rinsing (whole step carried out in the dark). AgNO₃ solutions (about 40 mL) frozen at -75°C, then freeze-dried in the dark (and stored in amber vials in a desiccator).

B. IRMS measurements

For oxygen isotope analysis an aliquots of the samples (1.0 ± 0.1 mg amounts) were weighed into silver capsules (8 x 5 mm). Oxygen isotope analysis was conducted by total conversion at 1080°C in a quartz reactor tube lined with a glassy carbon film, filled to a height of 170 mm with glassy carbon chips and topped with a layer of 50% nickelized carbon (10 mm deep). Carbon monoxide and nitrogen were separated on a GC column packed with molecular sieve 5A at a temperature of 60°C. The IRMS used was a Europa Scientific 20-20 with triple Faraday cup collector array to monitor the masses 28, 29 and 30.

For nitrogen isotope analysis an aliquots of the samples (2.0 ± 0.3 mg amounts) were weighed into tin capsules (8 x 5 mm). Analysis was undertaken by EA-IRMS (Elemental Analyser Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry). Tin capsules containing sample or reference material were loaded into an automatic sampler on a Europa Scientific RoboPrep-CN elemental analyser, from where they are dropped in sequence into a furnace held at 1000°C and combusted in an oxygen rich environment. The tin capsules flash combust, raising the temperature in the region of the sample to ca. 1700°C. The gases produced on combustion are swept in a helium stream over combustion catalyst (Cr₂O₃), copper oxide wires (to oxidize hydrocarbons), and silver wool to remove sulphur and halides. For nitrogen-15 analysis, CO₂ is removed using a Carbosorb chemical trap and the nitrogen focused on packed column gas chromatograph held at a constant temperature of 100°C. The resultant N₂ chromatographic peak enters the ion source of a Europa Scientific 20-20 IRMS where it is ionized and accelerated. Gas species of different mass are separated in a magnetic field then simultaneously measured using a Faraday cup collector array set to monitor masses 28, 29 and 30.

III RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Compositions of river water samples

Nitrate concentration was determined by flow analysis (FIA) and spectrometric detection method. Analysis was undertaken by FIALab-2500. Levels of nitrate nitrogen in first sampling point – Kraslava is ranged between 0.15 and 1.58 mg L⁻¹, in second point – Daugavpils is ranged between 0.43 and 1.75 mg L⁻¹, and at the third location – Riga it is in the range between 0.79 and 2.23 mg L⁻¹ (Fig. 1).
% N measured in AgNO₃ prepared from field water samples ranged from 1.5 to 8.1 (median of 7.5), with 90% of these samples showing % N between 6.9 and 8.1. % O measured in AgNO₃ ranged from 20.1 to 28.7 (median of 28.2), with 90% of these samples showing % O between 27.7 and 28.7.

In winter, the largest differences were observed in the hydrological regime between sampling points. The δ¹⁵NNO₃ values of riverine samples taken in winter varied from 7.1 to 8.6 ‰ in Kraslava, from 7.2 to 8.3 ‰ in Daugavpils, and from 8.1 to 9.0 ‰ in Riga (Fig. 2) and displayed significant differences between the three sampling points.

The data obtained for Kraslava, Daugavpils, and Riga for δ¹⁵NNO₃ values and δ¹⁸ONO₃ values are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Sampling point</th>
<th>N/NO₃ [mg L⁻¹]</th>
<th>δ¹⁵NNO₃ [%]</th>
<th>δ¹⁸ONO₃ [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18.02.2014</td>
<td>Kraslava</td>
<td>1.02±0.07</td>
<td>7.16±0.38</td>
<td>3.65±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daugavpils</td>
<td>0.98±0.09</td>
<td>7.83±0.23</td>
<td>5.89±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Riga</td>
<td>2.05±0.17</td>
<td>8.94±0.22</td>
<td>5.07±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.02.2014</td>
<td>Kraslava</td>
<td>1.19±0.09</td>
<td>7.84±0.28</td>
<td>3.99±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daugavpils</td>
<td>0.92±0.03</td>
<td>7.19±0.12</td>
<td>5.40±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Riga</td>
<td>1.56±0.04</td>
<td>8.65±0.24</td>
<td>6.19±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04.03.2014</td>
<td>Kraslava</td>
<td>1.17±0.11</td>
<td>8.56±0.19</td>
<td>5.49±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daugavpils</td>
<td>1.11±0.12</td>
<td>8.26±0.15</td>
<td>5.42±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Riga</td>
<td>1.23±0.06</td>
<td>8.04±0.27</td>
<td>5.58±0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nitrate nitrogen concentrations were significantly positively correlated with δ¹⁵NNO₃ values in Kraslava, Daugavpils, and Riga (R=0.8). The liner equation describe the correlation between Isotopic tracers and nitrates with linear regression coefficient (R²=0.62) (Fig. 3). The relation of δ¹⁵NNO₃ used to distinguish between sources of nitrates. The first source could be related to NO₃⁻ leaching from non-fertilized soils usually having δ¹⁵NNO₃ values in the range from -3 to 5 ‰. The second nitrate source with a higher NO₃⁻
concentration and δ\textsubscript{15}N\textsubscript{NO\textsubscript{3}} value could be related to nitrate N leaching from manured agricultural areas and/or to nitrate N derived from sewage. NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} originating from animal manure and sewage generally shows δ\textsubscript{15}N\textsubscript{NO\textsubscript{3}} value >7 ‰.

**Fig. 3.** The relation between δ\textsubscript{15}N\textsubscript{NO\textsubscript{3}} values and NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} concentrations in the Daugava River

**IV CONCLUSIONS**

The results suggesting that 62 % of variability in the δ\textsubscript{15}N\textsubscript{NO\textsubscript{3}} values could be attributed to mixing of NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} from two sources: from non-fertilized soils and from manured agricultural areas.
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