DISTRIBUTION OF IRON AND IRON COMPOUNDS IN THE KEMERI - JAUNKEMERI OCCURRENCE OF SULPHIDE WATER
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Abstract. Iron concentrations, distribution and migration forms, depending on pH and oxidation – reduction potential, were analyzed in case of the Kemeri-Jaunkemeri occurrence (area about 240 km²) of sulphide containing water (maximal sulphides concentration – 74 mg/l), located in Latvia. Iron content was investigated in 457 wells located within all area of occurrence. Those wells were installed to two aquifers: the Quaternary multi-aquifer and Salaspils aquifer, where occurrence of sulphide containing groundwater is distributed. All groundwater of occurrence is classified in four types depending on oxygen, sulphides and organic matter content in the groundwater. Modeling of groundwater migration forms was carried out, and it is stated that iron migrates basically as Fe²⁺ in oxygen and sulphides non-containing water. Migration forms are influenced by concentration of organic matter in the aquifer. The portion of Fe²⁺ migrating in a form of free decreases due to formation of complexes with fulvic and humic acids, which can reach 36.5% of all migration forms. Iron migrates as Fe(OH)₃⁺ in oxygen containing water (more than 99% of determined forms). Presence of iron is ascertained also in sulphides containing water, where iron migrates basically as (98.8% of determined forms). This occurs due to formation of complexes with sulphydes – FeHS⁻ and Fe(HS)₂⁻.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Iron in groundwater is one of elements which significantly influences groundwater quality, and distribution of iron forms in groundwater are depending on oxidation – reduction potential (Eh) and acidity/basicity (pH) of water media [1]. Simultaneously geochemistry of iron in groundwater is rather complicated, because iron can migrate in groundwater not only as divalent ferrous iron (Fe²⁺), trivalent ferric iron (Fe³⁺) and their hydroxides, but also in a form of complexes with many inorganic and organic ligands. Iron state, concentrations and migration forms were investigated in the Kemeri-Jaunkemeri occurrence of sulphides containing groundwater, because knowledge of iron geochemistry was important in order to understand genesis and distribution of sulphides in groundwater of the occurrence. The study area is located in Latvia, 40-60 km western form the capital of Latvia – Riga City (see Fig.1).

Fig.1 Location of the Study area

Area of the occurrence is about 240 km², and it is distributed within the Salaspils aquifer of the Upper Devonian consisting of dolomite, gypsum, marl, clay deposits, in average 20 m thick. This aquifer is covered and here and there is in hydraulic link with the multi-aquifer of the Quaternary deposits [10].
II METHODS, THEIR SIGNIFICANCE AND SCOPE OF THE WORK

Adequate sampling and conservation of groundwater samples and use of accurate analytical methods are required for acquisition of reliable data on iron concentration in water. Iron occurs in groundwater in dissolved and colloidal forms, and both of them include inorganic and organic forms of iron compounds. Therefore dissolved and colloidal forms of iron have to be separated before analysis if it takes place in situ. Conservation of sample must be provided, if it is delivered for analysis to the laboratory. Besides it has to be taken into account that difference in sizes of mentioned forms is rather relative. For example, size of iron hydroxides and sulphides is <0.2 μm, but size of complex iron compounds with fulvic acids is >1 μm. For that reason the membrane filter 0.45 μm was used for filtration. Still, as it is stated in study [3], insignificant part of colloidal forms are passing filter. Nevertheless the difference observed for 0.45 μm and for smaller size membrane filters, looking from analytical and hydrochemical viewpoints, is unessential for groundwater does not containing sulphides. Different is situation when groundwater contains sulphides, because very frequently colloidal forms of iron (for example, mackinawite - FeS) are found. Due to this reason membrane filter 0.2 μm was applied. pH of groundwater distributed in the borders of occurrence is about neutral (excluding water from boggy deposits). In general water filtration is mandatory if Fe³⁺ is found, because in such conditions Fe³⁺ migrates only as colloid or complex compound with organic matter. Filtration is not necessary if water contains only Fe²⁺.

Samples were acidified with the HCl to pH ~1, when they were delivered for analysis to the laboratory. It has to be emphasized that only total content of iron may be determined for acidified samples. In particular case it was important to determine directly Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ concentrations. Therefore major part samples, as rule, were analysed in situ. Hermetic sampling (excluding aeration) was provided in case of samples delivery to the stationary laboratory specially created at Kemeri in order to provide analysis within 0.5-1.5 hours after sampling (time which was necessary for transportation). Photometric analysis was applied, where α,α′-dipyridyl was used for iron determination. All complex compounds of iron where destroyed before analysis using HNO₃ and H₂O₂ and heating samples whilst they were completely evaporated. Non-evaporated samples were analysed in parallel in order to determine part of iron complexes in total content of iron [6]. The thiocyanate method was applied only for rough analysis in single cases, where it was actually, in order iron content in field conditions, and obtained results are not used in this work.

Special programme “Echo” was elaborated for a modelling of migration forms of elements in groundwater taking into account principles described in the work [9], i.e. programme was based on unstableness constants of substances, taking into account pH and Eh, chemical composition of water, gases content and organic matter concentrations as background information for a modelling purpose.

Iron content was investigated in 457 wells located within all area of investigations (see Fig.2). This was combined with pH, Eh and H₂S HS⁻ determination in field conditions, as well as with groundwater sampling for determination of chemical composition (Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺, Fe²⁺, Fe³⁺, HCO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻), gasses content (O₂) and determination of organic matter (Corg/tot, fulvic acids and humic acids).

Fig. 2 Location of wells and zoning of oxidation – reduction conditions of the occurrence

A. Theoretical aspects and classification of water of the occurrence

Hypothetical plot showing a stability-field of different dissolved iron forms and compounds typical for groundwater, where Eh varies from –400 mV to +500 mV, but pH from 4 to 10, is presented in study [2] (see Fig. 3, part “a”). High clarke of iron (4.65 g/t) [9] determines existence of iron abundance gradient in the system “sediments-water”. Consequently – any water containing sediments may be a source providing a presence of iron in groundwater.
Nevertheless, the direct link among iron content in sediments and in related groundwater is not stated [7]. Iron migration from sediments to water is possible only in particular oxidation – reduction conditions, in general are determined by the pH and Eh. Therefore iron distribution in groundwater, looking both from quantitative and qualitative aspects, is extremely different.

The iron forms in groundwater, taking into consideration that iron may be found as reduced divalent ferrous (Fe^{2+}) and oxidized trivalent ferric (Fe^{3+}), are determined by variety of factors. The most essential among them are five [7,8]:

- poor Fe(OH)_{3} and good Fe(OH)_{3} solubility in water; their solubility constants are: \(3.8 \times 10^{-38}\) and \(1 \times 10^{-15}\),
- oxidation of Fe^{2+} in presence of O_{2} with further hydrolysis creating Fe(OH)_{3},
- formation of poorly soluble compounds in sulphides containing water - FeS and FeS_{2} having solubility constants \(1 \times 10^{-20}\) and \(1 \times 10^{-30}\),
- formation of poorly soluble compounds with anions distributed in groundwater,
- formation of complexes with ions and organic matter, especially with humic and fulvic acids.

All mentioned factors also have the particular role during the process of origin and existence of the Kemeri-Jaunkemeri occurrence of sulphides containing water. Very different types of water are distributed in the rather small area (240 km^{2}) - starting from oxygen and ending with sulphides containing; moreover – groundwater has very different composition and content of dissolved substances.

Further only types of groundwater, characteristic for the Kemeri-Jaunkemeri occurrence of sulphides containing water, are examined (see Fig. 3, part “b”), and classified taking into consideration values of pH, Eh, O_{2}, sulphides (H_{2}S + HS^{-} + S^{2-}) and organic matter:

1) I type: oxygen (up to 4.2 mg/l) containing and sulphides non-containing water with relatively low content of organic matter (C_{org,tot} <20 mg/l), where pH and Eh values varies from 6.5 to 7.5 and from +245 mV to +390 mV corresponding. This type of water is dominantly distributed in the Quaternary multi-aquifer, but also can be found in local areas in the Salaspils aquifer, where it is recharged, due to absence of glacial sediments, by water from the Quaternary multi-aquifer.

2) II type: oxygen and sulphides non-containing water, relatively poor with organic matter (C_{org,tot} = up to 90 mg/l). This type of water is distributed in the Salaspils aquifer, where pH and Eh values varies from 6.8 to 7.5 and from +10 mV to +160 mV corresponding. Link among Eh and pH has inverse character – minimal and maximal Eh values observed in cases of maximal and minimal pH, i.e. Eh=10 mV if pH=7.4 and Eh=160 mV if pH=6.8,

3) III type: oxygen and sulphides non-containing water, rich with organic matter (C_{org,tot} <15 mg/l). Sulphides content reaches 74 mg/l, where pH and Eh values varies from 6.8 to 7.3 and from -10 mV to -190 mV corresponding.

B. Distribution of iron in oxygen containing groundwater

Oxidation and hydrolysis take place in groundwater containing oxygen and relatively poor with organic matter, where pH value varies from 6.5 to 7.5 (I and II types of water). Following equations of reactions are describing those processes:

\[ \text{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \epsilon \] (1)

\[ \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{OH}^{-} \leftrightarrow \text{Fe} \text{OH}^{2+} + \text{OH}^{-} \leftrightarrow \text{Fe(OH)}_{2}^{+} + \text{OH}^{-} \leftrightarrow \text{Fe(OH)}_{3}^{0} + \text{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \text{Fe(OH)}_{4}^{-} \] (2)

The most stable compound, when pH is about neutral, is Fe(OH)_{3}. Formation of colloids both in surface water and groundwater takes place, if solubility expression \((3.8 \times 10^{-38})\) of ferric iron is reached. G.Solomin has determined Fe^{2+} migration forms in oxygen containing groundwater already in 1967 [12], and has ascertained that those forms may be presented in following order:

\[ \text{Fe(OH)}_{3}^{0} \rightarrow \text{Fe(OH)}_{2}^{+} \rightarrow \text{Fe(OH)}_{2}^{+} \rightarrow \text{FeSO}_{4}^{0} \rightarrow \text{FeCl}_{2}^{+} \]

where Fe(OH)_{3}^{0} absolutely dominates (>99% of determined forms). Fe(OH)_{2}^{+} and Fe(OH)_{2}^{+} content is very low (n*0.1 %), but FeSO_{4}^{0} and FeCl_{2}^{+} presence...
has rather theoretical character (less than n*0.01 %). Similar conclusions provided also in other studies. For example, in study [2] it is mentioned that in presence of oxygen Fe(OH)$_3$ forms 99.0-99.9% of total iron forms.

When groundwater is saturated with Fe(OH)$_3$, taking into account its low solubility, initially Fe(OH)$_3$ is transformed to colloidal form with following precipitation forming brown colour sediments. Stability constant for the reaction Fe(OH)$_3$+H$_2$O = FeOOH+H$_2$O is $\approx$3.4*10$^{-6}$. It, taking into account stability constants of other forms, allows to determine the maximum free Fe$^{3+}$ content which is in equilibrium with precipitation of Fe(OH)$_3$. According [12] this concentration cannot be more than 17 mg of Fe$^{3+}$ per liter. This allows to make important conclusion – hydrolis of Fe$^{2+}$ and after of Fe$^{3+}$ is taking place in groundwater containing oxygen. Consequently iron in groundwater of occurrence, where it contains oxygen, has to be completely precipitated.

This fact was proved during investigations, i.e. iron content in I type of water varies from 0.00 to 0.54 mg/l. It is important to emphasize that this conclusion has not only local, but also general character. According study [5], where iron distribution in groundwater in Lithuania was analysed, it is stated that maximum iron content does not exceed 0.38 mg/l if average concentration of O$_2$ in groundwater is 0.38 mg/l.

C. Iron migration in groundwater non containing O$_2$ and H$_2$S poor with organic matter

Formation of oxygen and sulphides non containing water (II type) takes place in closed systems, where high concentrations of iron are possible only in case, when Eh of groundwater is lower that oxred potential of the reaction (1). This type of water is distributed in zone of occurrence of Fe$^{2+}$ (see Fig. 3, part “b”), where iron content depends of solubility of the less soluble compound. For water, where pH is about neutral, these are carbonates. Following equation describes the solubility process in particular case [8]:

$$\text{FeCO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2 = \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{HCO}_3^-$$

Analyzing the reaction, it has to be concluded that carbonate equilibrium shall be diverged towards HCO$_3^-$. Therefore iron concentration in water will not be determined by the weak soluble FeCO$_3$ (solubility constant $\approx$ 2.3*10$^{-11}$), but by the high soluble Fe(HCO$_3$)$_2$ [4]. Presence of CO$_2$ in groundwater is mandatory precondition for an increase of iron concentrations. In particular conditions source of CO$_2$ are: oxidation of organic matter, biochemical processes and others.

Data on iron migration in water does not containing O$_2$ and sulphides is presented in Table 1 both for the Quaternary multi-aquifer and for the Salaspils aquifer. pH and Eh of this type of water varies from 6.7 to 7.4 and from +50 mV to +200 mV correspondingly. It is ascertained that in the Quaternary multi-aquifer more than 83% of iron migrates as Fe$^{2+}$, and subordinated significance have following compounds: FeSO$_4$, FeHCO$_3$ and FeCO$_3$. Similar results are obtained for the Salaspils aquifer, where more than 63% of iron migrates as Fe$^{2+}$. Nevertheless the part of complex compounds reaches 37%, and essential increase of FeCO$_3$ and FeHCO$_3$ is observed. Reason is very clear – water mineralization is 0.3-0.6 g/l and 2.2-2.6 g/l correspondingly in the Quaternary multi-aquifer and in the Salaspils aquifer.

Total iron content in aquifers is different, and varies from 8.4 mg/l to 36.0 mg/l in the Quaternary multi-aquifer and from 1.58 mg/l to 5.2 mg/l in the Salaspils aquifer.

D. Iron distribution in O$_2$ and sulphides non-containing water rich with organic matter

Different situation observed in case when water is rich with organic matter, especially with humic and fulvic acids. With them iron forms stabile complexes, and for that reason migration of it occurs also in oxygen containing waters due to stability of complex compounds.

In literature [7,8, others] it is mentioned that iron forms complexes also with low-molecular carionic acids (C1-10 – acetic acid, oxalic acid, citric acid, vinic acid and others). This item was not analyzed during our investigations, because the main attention was paid to the boggy sediments aquifer and to other aquifers significantly influenced by the water from boggy sediments aquifer providing essential increase of content of organic matter. Also it has to be emphasized that water of the boggy sediments aquifer does not contain carionic acids [11].

pH of water in boggy sediments aquifer varies from 5.0 to 6.5, but Eh - from +75 mV to +205 mV. Forming of complexes with fulvic, humic acids and other organic compounds significantly slow down oxidation of Fe$^{2+}$, and in single cases completely prevent the oxidation process, even more – reduction of Fe$^{3+}$ to Fe$^{2+}$ takes place in presence of organic matter. Moreover – created complexes are not subjected to hydrolis in the particular media.

Kinetic of hydrolis of Fe$^{3+}$ depends on pH and ratio of fulvic acids and Fe$^{3+}$ concentration in water. Therefore maximal Fe$^{3+}$ concentrations (to 47.5 mg/l) are found in water of the boggy sediments aquifer very rich with fulvic and humic acids (third type of water).
Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Measurement unit</th>
<th>Well or spring, aquifer</th>
<th>V-3c</th>
<th>V-3e</th>
<th>V-4</th>
<th>LG-5</th>
<th>LG-2</th>
<th>LG-3</th>
<th>751</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>XVIII-3/2</th>
<th>XVIII-3/z</th>
<th>XVIII-3/d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe(HCO3)+</td>
<td>g-ions/l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe2+</td>
<td>g-ions/l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration forms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe2+</td>
<td>% no Fe2+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(OH)+</td>
<td>% no Fe2+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeSO4</td>
<td>% no Fe2+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(HCO3)+</td>
<td>% no Fe2+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(HS)+</td>
<td>% no Fe2+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH- ions activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Iron migration forms in the Baltic Ice Lake limnoglacial sediments aquifer

- hydrolysis of the complex compounds of Fe3+ and fulvic and humic acids is very weak,
- standard oxred value for fulvic and humic acids is lower than for system Fe3+/Fe2+, what determines reduction of Fe3+ in presence of those organic compounds,
- fulvic and humic acids in large extent prevent oxidation of Fe2+ in cases when conditions of aqua – media are changing [7].

Fig. 4 shows distribution of iron migration forms in the Quaternary multi-aquifer in case when concentrations of fulvic and humic acids are relatively low.
low and water does not contain O₂ and sulphides. These conditions are typical for the Baltic Ice Lake limnoglacial sediments aquifer, and the sampled well was located at the eastern part of the Lielais Tīrelis bog, where aquifer, to a greater or lesser extent, is recharged by water from the boggy sediments aquifer.

In particular conditions Fe²⁺, according modelling results, migrates both in form of free ion and complex compounds. Furthermore – the sum of complex compounds is higher than value migration in a form of Fe²⁺, but Fe³⁺ basically migrates in a form of free ion. Rank of the main migration forms is following:

Fe²⁺ > Fe²⁺-humic acids > Fe²⁺-fulvic acids > FeSO₄ > Fe³⁺

Taking into account that Fe²⁺ is one the main oxred forming components in the Quaternary multi-aquifer, it has to be concluded that:

- Fe²⁺ forms complexes not only with fulvic and humic acids, but also with sulphates,
- abnormally high content of Fe²⁺ in the boggy water is determined by low pH causing great increase of migration ability of iron and simultaneous creation of complexes of it with fulvic and humic acids

Distribution of iron in sulphides containing water

Iron migration, according results of investigations, is ascertained also in sulphide containing water (IV type). This occurs due to creation of Fe²⁺ complexes basically with HS⁻:

Fe²⁺ + nHS⁻ ↔ Fe(HS)₂ⁿ⁻[4]

Rise up of pH of water determines increase of alkalinity, and consequently increase of concentration of S²⁻ ions. In this case, simultaneously with reaction [4], the following process is ongoing:

Fe²⁺ + S²⁻ ↔ FeS)[5]

Nevertheless the process [5] has only theoretical character in case of the Kemeri – Jaunkemeri occurrence, because pH value normally does not exceed 7.2-7.4.

In general iron concentrations in this type of water are low and very rarely exceed 1 mg/l (maximal concentration is 1.2 mg/l). Simultaneously formation of pyrite (FeS₂) and mackinawite (FeS) was observed in the Salaspils aquifer, where it is recharged by oxygen containing groundwater from the Quaternary multi-aquifer. Oxidation of H₂S⁰ and HS⁻ causes not only decrease of their concentrations, but also destruction of Fe(HS)₂ⁿ⁻ complexes. Process causes increase of pH of water due to involvement of H⁺ ion in reactions. This process is described by following equations:

HS⁻ + H⁺ = H₂S[6]

2HS⁻ + 2H⁺ + O₂ = 2SO₄²⁻ + 2H₂O[7]

Concentrations of S²⁻ are increasing in water due to deviation of pH towards alkaline conditions. This, jointly with processes [6] and [7] determines deposition of pyrite and mackinawite. Processes are described by following equations:

Fe²⁺ + S²⁻ = FeS[8]

Fe²⁺ + S²⁻ + S⁰ = FeS₄⁽⁻²⁾[9]

Further oxidation of sulphides takes place releasing H⁺ ion, what leads to decrease of alkalinity. Those processes are describing following equations:

H₂S + O₂ = SO₄²⁻ + 2H⁺[10]

HS⁻ + O₂ = SO₄²⁻ + H⁺[11]

2SO₄²⁻ + 2H₂O = 2SO₃²⁻ + 4H⁺[12]

Two iron migration forms - FeHS⁻ and Fe(HS)₂ⁿ⁻ (see Table 1) are dominating in the Salaspils aquifer, where water contains sulphides. They present absolutely dominating part of migration forms – 98.8%. Distribution of other migration forms: Fe²⁺, FeOH⁺, FeSO₄⁰ and FeHCO₃⁻, is very limited (0.1%).

Finally it has to be mentioned that maximal actual concentration of iron (1.2 mg/l) in this water is very far from theoretically possible (see Table 1).

III RESULTS, CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

Use either SI (MKS) or CGS as primary units. (SI units are encouraged.) English units may be used as secondary units (in parentheses). An exception would be the use of English units as identifiers in trade, such as “3.5-inch disk drive”.

If you must use mixed units, clearly state the units for each quantity that you use in an equation.

Study results of the Kemeri-Jaunkemeri occurrence of sulphide containing groundwater allow to provide following conclusions:

1) Mainly Fe²⁺ is distributed in groundwater of the occurrence, excluding particular locations where in aquifers have water containing O₂. Fe(OH)₃ absolutely dominates in this type of water.

2) Iron concentration, its migration forms and saturation of water with iron are extremely different not only for different aquifers, but also within the productive Salaspils aquifer as well. Therefore during mixing of various types of water, most frequently, degradation of occurrence takes place. Hereto the main role in those processes is playing oxygen, but presence of iron in water also has rather serious influence – form example, it determinates formation of pyrite and mackinawite. Especially a formation of mackinawite causes serious problems, because the most intensively this process is ongoing in zone of air –water contact in wells (water table fluctuations are 1.2-1.8 m/year), what causes the fast degradation of a well.

3) Results of investigations proved that iron forms complexes with organic matter, in particular case – with fulvic and humic acids what leads to significant increase of total iron concentrations in groundwater rich with mentioned substances. Those organic acids can not be used by sulphate reduction bacteria, but still is opened question – does iron forms complexes with organic substances which may be consumed by mentioned bacteria? This is problem has to be investigated in future in order to understand rate of influence of this process to origin and distribution of sulphides in groundwater of the occurrence.
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