STEM COIL MODEL VERIFICATION: A PILOT STUDY IN LATVIA

Andreas Ahrens¹, Jelena Zascerinska², Julija Melnikova³, Anastasija Bikova⁴, Ludmila Aleksejeva⁵, Mihails Zascerinskis⁶, Olga Gukovica⁷, Irina Abjalkiene⁸ ¹ Hochschule Wismar University of Applied Sciences Technology Business and Design,

Germany

^{2,4,5,6,7,8} Centre for Education and Innovation Research, Latvia ³ Klaipeda University, Lithuania

Abstract. STEM COIL (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics Collaborative Online International Learning) is an emerging research and education area. This research was enabled by the research question: Is the STEM COIL model designed right? The work aim is to verify the STEM COIL model underpinning the evaluation of the pilot study carried out in Latvia. Descriptive study was deployed. Observational method of the descriptive study was carried out in Latvia in April 2024. Collected data were processed via content analysis. The obtained results were interpreted. The novelty of this research is represented by the STEM COIL model verification based on results of the pilot study carried out in Latvia. The findings of the descriptive study reveal that the STEM COIL implementation coincide with the STEM COIL theoretical model. In other words, the elements of the STEM COIL performed their function in the intended way. The analysis of the pilot study carried out in Latvia in April 2024. Colle has been verified as the STEM COIL elements performed the intended function in the course of the implementation of the pilot study carried out in Latvia in April 2024. Consequently, STEM COIL provides opportunities for STEM learners who wish to improve their STEM knowledge, increase their inclusiveness and equity in society in general and STEM education specifically. Keywords: COIL (Collaborative Online International Learning), descriptive study, equity, inclusion, pilot study, STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, Mathematics) education, model verification.

To cite this article:

Ahrens, A., Zascerinska, J., Melnikova, J., Bikova, A., Aleksejeva, L., Zascerinskis, M., Gukovica, O., & Abjalkiene, I. (2024). STEM Coil Model Verification: a Pilot Study in Latvia. *Education. Innovation. Diversity*, *1*(8), 5 - 13. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.17770/eid2024.1.7929</u>

Introduction

Currently, all spheres of our life are being digitized, digitalized, automated, and robotized in order to ease people life. Digitized, digitalized, automated, and robotized gadgets are supposed to make Europe climate neutral, thereby contributing to our sustainable development and greener future (Zascerinska, Emet, Usca, & Bikova, 2023).

Leveraging and advancement of these trends of digitisation and digitalisation, automation, as well as robotisation require everyone participation (Zascerinska, Emet, Usca, & Bikova, 2023). Everyone - being user or developers of digitized, digitalized, automated, and robotized gadgets – needs to obtain specific knowledge, also known as STEM education. It should be noted that everyone's inclusion in STEM education is crucial (Zascerinska, Aleksejeva, Zascerinskis, & Abjalkiene, 2022) for reaching the objectives of green and digital transformation. Therefore, STEM education is becoming the linchpin in the educational system of any country.

Conventionally, STEM knowledge in any type of education is exchanged in a face-toface class (Zascerinska et al, 2021). However, the COVID-19 pandemic has motivated mixed class teaching, also known as hyflex (hybrid flexible) class teaching (Aleksejeva, et al, 2021). One of the scenarios of hyflex class teaching was identified to be Collaborative Online International Learning (COIL) (Zascerinska et al, 2022). Therefore, STEM COIL classes have been introduced into education. However, there is a lacuna in STEM COIL model verification.

The present research was enabled by the research question: Is the STEM COIL model designed right? The aim of this work is to verify the STEM COIL model underpinning the evaluation of pilot study carried out in Latvia.

The current work refers to qualitative research. Descriptive study was deployed in this work. Observational method of the descriptive study was carried out in Latvia in April 2024. The collected data were processed via content analysis. The obtained results were interpreted.

The novelty of this research is represented by the STEM COIL model verification based on results of the pilot study carried out in Latvia.

STEM COIL Theoretical Framework

STEM COIL consists of two concepts (STEM COIL, 2021):

- 1. STEM, and
- 2. COIL.

STEM acronym was introduced in 2001 (Hallinen, 2024). STEM refers to Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (Zaščerinska, Andreeva, & Aleksejeva, 2015). They can also be defined as educational disciplines (Zascerinska, Aleksejeva, Zascerinskis, & Abjalkiene, 2022). The educational discipline relates to the subject knowledge (Zascerinska, Aleksejeva, Zascerinskis, & Abjalkiene, 2022). Subject knowledge is advanced, exchanged, transmitted, and transferred in the educational process implemented in three logical and sequential phases (Zaščerinska, 2013) as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1 Phases of STEM knowledge exchange in education (the authors)

Conventionally, STEM knowledge in any type of education is exchanged in a face-toface class (Zascerinska et al, 2021). However, the COVID-19 pandemic has motivated mixed class teaching, also known as hyflex (hybrid flexible) class teaching (Aleksejeva et al, 2021). One of the scenarios of hyflex class teaching was identified to be Collaborative Online International Learning (COIL) (Zascerinska et al, 2022).

It is worth noting that the COIL model appeared in the early 2000s (SUNY COIL, 2024). COIL has been at the forefront of empowering teachers and trainers, learners, programs and institutions to embrace diversity through inclusive teaching and learning focused on equity while connecting through difference (SUNY COIL, 2024). COIL provides a means to (SUNY COIL, 2024):

- Develop intercultural awareness and communicative competencies, cost effectively and at scale;
- Encourage appreciation for diverse backgrounds and perspectives;
- Broaden and strengthen students' understanding of the discipline studied through applied projects and discussions;

- Advance the use of technology tools for collaboration, communication and learning;
- Prepare students to work in a multi-cultural and connected world.

In COIL scenario, students and teachers in different countries are connected for collaborative projects and discussions as part of their coursework (Zascerinska et al, 2022). Table 1 adapted from Zascerinska et al (2022) specifies the COIL scenario.

Table 1 (COIL s	cenario	in a	hvflex	class	(the	authors)	
		centario	<i></i> u	ingica	crubb	line	<i>annors</i>	

Scenario	Teacher	Student	Language of instruction
COIL	A couple of	Students are from at least 2 countries,	An international language is
	teachers from	each of 2 students' groups is in the	used for both teachers' and
	different	campus class, these 2 students'	students' communication in
	countries	groups are connected via the Internet.	the COIL class

In 2021, the fusion of STEM and COIL took place (Zascerinska et al, 2022). The new development model received the name STEM COIL (STEM COIL, 2021). Table 2 gives an overview of the STEM COIL model development in a historical perspective.

Table 2 A historical perspective on STEM COIL model development (the authors)

Nr	Phenomenon	Historical period	Reference
1	STEM	2001	Hallinen, 2024
2	COIL	2000s	SUNY COIL, 2024
3	STEM COIL	2021	STEM COIL, 2021

Figure 2 present the STEM COIL model in education (Zascerinska et al, 2022). Relationships between the key elements of the STEM COIL model are demonstrated in Figure 2 as well.



Figure 2 STEM COIL in education (the authors)

Based on the analysis of the STEM COIL model carried out in this work, the key characteristics of STEM COIL are reflected in Table 3.

Phenomenon	Key characteristics
STEM COIL	STEM knowledge
	Inclusion
	Equity

Table 3 Key Characteristics of STEM COIL implementation (the authors)

Table 4 details characteristics of STEM COIL implementation in education.

Phenomenon	Key characteristics	A short description	Reference
STEM knowledge		Use of STEM disciplines	Zascerinska et al, 2022
	Inclusion	A couple of teachers from different countries	Zascerinska et al, 2022
		Students are from at least 2 countries	Zascerinska et al, 2022
		Each of 2 students' groups either in the campus class or online	Zascerinska et al, 2022
STEM COIL	Equity	These 2 students' groups are connected via the Internet	Zascerinska et al, 2022
		An international language is used for both teachers' and students' communication in the COIL class	Zascerinska et al, 2022

Table 4 Characteristics of STEM COIL implementation (the authors)

STEM COIL is an emerging research and education area. The use of STEM COIL in education intends to increase STEM knowledge, social impact and inclusion.

Methodology of the Research

The study was enabled by the research questions: Is the STEM COIL model designed right?

The purpose of the empirical study was to verify the STEM COIL model via the implementation of the pilot study in Latvia.

Model verification is an important step in model development (Thacker et al, 2004). Verification confirms that all elements of the system perform their intended functions and meet technical (performance and operational context) and interface requirements and constraints (i.e., the product was built right) (Hahn, 2013). In other words, verification is intended to ensure that the model does what it is intended to do (Hillston, 2003). Testing is a method of model verification in engineering, while in social sciences it is called pilot studies (Hahn, 2013).

Model development and verification via analysis of pilot studies refer to qualitative research in this work (Ahrens et al, 2023).

The descriptive study was deployed in this work. Descriptive studies can be also used for verification purposes (Rott, Specht, & Knipping, 2021). Descriptive study takes place in natural settings. No any manipulation within the descriptive study is intended. Conventionally, descriptive study aims at describing a situation for better understanding a

phenomenon. This type of the research was chosen as there exist a lacuna in the verification of the STEM COIL model.

The descriptive study was carried out online on 10 April 2024. About 190 participants took part in this online event. The audience included researchers in STEM, education and other scientific disciplines, higher education students, academic staff of Latvia's higher education institutions, a representative of a regional museum in Norway. The online event was devoted to STEM in Latvia. Four STEM centres in four cities of different regions of Latvia - namely Liepaja, Daugavpils, Ventspils, and Cesis - were presented to the audience.

The method of observation was leveraged for qualitative data collection. Observation serves as an effective method in obtaining qualitative data (Zascerinska, 2013). Observation helps build an adequate picture described from a number of participants' perspectives (Geertz, 1973). A researcher was the participant of the group in which STEM COIL was implemented in Latvia in April 2024. Observation with the participation of a researcher is beneficial (Hargreaves, 1967). This direct participation in the group permits an easy entrance into the social situation by reducing the resistance of the group members; decreases the extent to which the investigator disturbs the 'natural' situation, and allows the investigator to experience and observe the group's norms, values, conflicts and pressures, which (over a long period) cannot be hidden from someone playing an ingroup role (Hargreaves, 1967).

The collected qualitative data were processed via content analysis. The types of content analysis were structuring and summarising analysis (Mayring, 2000) as illustrated in Table 5.

Method	Type of the method	A Short description	Reference
Content	Structuring content	Categorising the data in accordance to the	Budde, 2005
analysis	analysis	previously determined criteria	
	Summarising content	Reducing the material in such a way that the	Mayring,
	analysis	essential contents are preserved, but a	2004
		manageable short text is produced	

Table 5 Types of content analysis used in the descriptive study (the authors)

The obtained results were interpreted.

The use of the interpretive paradigm in this study is featured by the researcher's interest in a phenomenon (Zaščerinska, Aleksejeva, Zaščerinskis, Gukovica, & Aleksejeva, 2021) and the researcher's practical interest in the research question (Cohen, Manion, & Morrison, 2003). Interpreter is the researcher who carries out the research or study (Ahrens, Purvinis, Zaščerinska, Micevičienė, & Tautkus, 2018).

Paradigm, in general, indicates movements within social science (Phothongsunan, 2010) in general and social science's studies in particular. Interpretivists use more open-ended research questions (Phothongsunan, 2010). The main focus is on qualitative data (Phothongsunan, 2010) as qualitative data analysis could show slightly recognisable changes in a studied environment. Interpretive studies often use small numbers of participants (Phothongsunan, 2010). This is because the purpose is not to generalise (Phothongsunan, 2010), but to explore the meanings which stem from the interpretation (Zaščerinska, Aleksejeva, Zaščerinskis, Gukovica, & Aleksejeva, 2021). Therefore, interpretation encompasses the analysis of the social construction of the meaningful reality (Zaščerinska, Aleksejeva, Zaščerinskis, Gukovica, & Aleksejeva, 2021).

The studied environment was multicultural:

- On the one hand, participants were from Latvia and Norway, and
- On the other hand, participants from Latvia represented different cultures of four cities in different regions of Latvia namely Liepaja, Daugavpils, Ventspils, and Cesis.

Therefore, the interpretive method was used in this study aimed at understanding other cultures, from the inside through the use of ethnographic methods such as informal interviewing and participant observation, and establishment of ethically sound relationships (Taylor & Medina, 2013). The interpretive research paradigm corresponds to the nature of humanistic pedagogy (Lūka, 2008). The interpretive paradigm creates an environment for the development of any individual and helps them to develop their potential (Lūka, 2008). The core of this paradigm is human experience, people's mutual everyday interaction that tends to understand the subjectivity of human experience (Lūka, 2007). The paradigm is aimed at understanding people's activity, how a certain activity is exposed in a certain environment, time, conditions, i.e., how it is exposed in a certain socio-cultural context (Lūka, 2007). Thus, the interpretive paradigm is oriented towards one's conscious activity, and it is future oriented (Lūka, 2007).

Research Results

At the event beginning, the audience was addressed by the Minister from the Latvia's Ministry of Education and Science and, later by the Director of Latvia's State Education Development Agency. Both of them used Latvian language to deliver their messages.

Afterwards, a representative from a regional museum in Norway described key activities of his institution. After the presentation, a short task related to STEM disciplines was given to the audience. The speaker used English language. Translation into Latvian language was provided by the event organisers. A volunteer, who took part in the short task did not need any translation as she understood the presenter using English.

Then, four STEM centres in four different cities of different regions of Latvia - namely Liepaja, Daugavpils, Ventspils, and Cesis - were presented to the audience. The presentations were given in Latvian.

The content analysis was used to compare the theoretical model of STEM COIL with its testing in natural settings within the event organised in Latvia in April 2024. Table 6 reflects the results of the comparative analysis.

Pheno-	Key	Short	Theoreti-	Practical	Observational
menon	characteristics	description	cal model	implementation	notes
			YES/NO	YES/NO	
	STEM	Use of STEM			STEM in Latvia
	knowledge	disciplines	YES	YES	was discussed
					online in an
					international group
	Inclusion	A couple of			A number of
		teachers from	YES	YES	teacher higher than
		different countries			two from two or
STEM					more countries was
COIL					reached
		Students are from			Only students from
		at least 2	YES	NO	Latvia participated
		countries			in the event
		Each of 2			Observation
		students' groups	YES	YES	showed that the
		either in the			participants,
		campus class or			including teachers

Table 6 Comparative analysis of the theoretical model of STEM COIL and results of its testing (the authors)

	online			and students, took part in the event
				online
Equity	These 2 students'			All the participants
	groups are	YES	YES	were online via the
	connected via the			wire, wireless, or
	Internet			mobile Internet
	An international			English as an
	language is used	YES	YES	international
	for both teachers'			language was used
	and students'			for the online
	communication in			communication
	the COIL class			

The findings of the descriptive study reveal that the STEM COIL implementation coincide with the STEM COIL theoretical model. In other words, the elements of the STEM COIL performed their function in the intended way.

Discussion

STEM COIL is a novel teaching and learning methodology in the field of STEM education.

STEM COIL can be expressed in a variety of such forms as written text, painting, drawing, photograph, verbal, body language and others (Zaščerinska et al, 2016).

The use of an international or any other common language for communication in STEM COIL can be easily facilitated by many contemporary technical tools such as DeepL, Google Translate, and similar exist.

Learners' benefits from STEM COIL are

- STEM knowledge is exchanged via modern online and intercultural tools.
- STEM COIL facilitates the increase in STEM learners' motivation to learn STEM via their online involvement in international STEM education.
- STEM COIL serves to provide STEM learners' equity as COIL connects learners from different countries (with high and low GPD countries, from remote areas, industrial and agricultural regions, developing and developed states, etc). STEM COIL helps learners become aware of STEM education in their own and other countries, thereby building and cementing STEM learners' equity.

Conclusions

The analysis of the pilot study carried out in this work allows concluding that the STEM COIL model has been verified as the STE COIL elements performed the intended function in the course of the implementation of the pilot study carried out in Latvia in April 2024. Consequently, STEM COIL provides opportunities for STEM learners who wish to improve their STEM knowledge, increase their inclusiveness and equity in society in general and STEM education specifically.

The presented research was limited by the available scientific works and empirical analysis of STEM COIL. As STEM COIL is a novel teaching and learning methodology, the available publications on STEM COIL are scarce. Another limiting parameter is that, in the STEM COIL pilot study, students only from Latvia participated. Despite the fact that only students from one country participated, the STEM COIL model was found to be verified.

Another limiting factor was the analysis of only one STEM COIL event organised by one country. If more empirical studies are published, then, other results could be received. The research findings were also limited by the use of only observational method for data collection. Use of online survey could provide the researchers with other results.

In future, the presented theoretical model of STEM COIL can be updated with more and other elements and features. The list of STEM COIL benefits could be re-considered as well. In future, STEM COIL model validation will be implemented. Future empirical studies intend to analyse the implementation of STEM COIL in different educational levels (primary, secondary, higher, adult, etc). Cultural aspects of STEM COIL implementation could be also an interesting research field in future. Comparative studies of STEM COIL implementation could be also could motivate STEM teachers for leveraging COIL in STEM education.

Acknowledgement

The presented work has been carried out within the Project NPHZ-2021/10050 "STEM COIL for Greener Sustainable Ecosystems: Igniting Global Classrooms" supported by Nordplus Horizontal 2021 as well as Project NPHZ-2023/10068 "STEMify Your Classroom: STEM Platforms for Quality Education" supported by Nordplus Horizontal 2023.

References

- Ahrens, A., Purvinis, O., Zaščerinska, J., Micevičienė, D., & Tautkus, A. (2018). Burstiness Management for Smart, Sustainable and Inclusive Growth: Emerging Research and Opportunities. IGI Global. DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-5442-4.
- Ahrens, A., Zascerinska, J., Bikova, A., Aleksejeva, L., Zascerinskis, M., & Gukovica, O. (2023). A New Development Model of Sustainable Communication for Higher Education Institutions. *Education. Innovation. Diversity*, 2(6), 30-48. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.17770/eid2023.1.7175</u>
- Aleksejeva, A., Zascerinskis, M., Abjalkiene, I., Gukovica, O., Zascerinska, J., & Ahrens, A. (2021). Teaching a HyFlex Class: Scenario Design. XX Scientific and Practical Conference for Young Educational Researchers "Research That Improves Education" by Educational Research Association State University of Psychology and Education, 457-467.
- Balci, O. (2012). A life cycle for modeling and simulation. *Simulation*, 88(7), 870-883. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0037549712438469
- Budde, R. (2005). Mexican and Central American L.A. Garment Workers: Globalized Industries and their economic constraints. LIT Verlag Münster.
- Cohen L., Manion L., & Morrsion K. (2003). *Research Methods in Education*. London and New York: Routledge/Falmer Taylor & Francis Group.
- Geertz, C. (1973). The Interpretation of Cultures. London: Hutchinson.
- Hahn, H. A. (2013). The Conundrum of Verification and Validation of Social Science-based Models. *Procedia Computer Science, Volume 16*, 878-887. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2013.01.092</u>
- Hallinen, J. (2024). *STEM*. Encyclopedia Britannica, 2 Apr. 2024. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.britannica.com/topic/STEM-education</u>
- Hargreaves, D. H. (1967). Social Relations in a secondary school. London: Routledgeand Kegan Paul.
- Hillston, J. (September 19, 2003). *Model Validation and Verification*. Retrieved from <u>https://www.inf.ed.ac.uk/teaching/courses/ms/notes/note14.pdf</u>
- Luka, I. (2007). Development of Students' English for Specific Purposes Competence in Tourism Studies at Tertiary Level. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Riga: Latvijas Universitāte.
- Luka, I. (2008). Students and the educator's co-operation as a means of development of students' ESP competence. Paper presented at the *European Conference on Educational Research*, University of Goteborg, 10-12.09.2008.
- Mayring, P. (2000). Qualitative Content Analysis. *Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 1(2), Art. 20. Retrieved from <u>https://www.qualitative-research.net/index.php/fqs/article/view/1089/2385</u>
- Mayring, P. (2004). Qualitative Content Analysis. In: U. Flick, E. Von Kardoff and I. Steinke (Eds.), A Companion to Qualitative Research, pp. 266-269. SAGE, UK, Glasgow.
- Phothongsunan, Sureepong. (2010). Interpretive paradigm in educational research. Galaxy: *The IELE Journal 2*, 1 (October 2010), 1-4.

- Rott, B., Specht, B. & Knipping, C. (2021). A descriptive phase model of problem-solving processes. ZDM Mathematics Education 53, 737–752. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-021-01244-3</u>
- STEM COIL. (2021). STEM COIL: Science, technology, engineering and mathematics for Collaborative Online International Learning. Retrieved from <u>https://stemcoil.eu</u>
- Taylor, P.C., & Medina, M.N.D. (2013). Educational Research Paradigms: From Positivism to Multiparadigmatic. *The Journal of Meaning-Centered Education. Volume 1*, Article 2. Retrieved from <u>https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Peter-Taylor-</u> <u>28/publication/264196558 Educational research paradigms From positivism to multiparadigmatic/lin ks/5b518e25a6fdcc8dae2fa55e/Educational-research-paradigms-From-positivism-tomultiparadigmatic.pdf</u>
- Thacker, B.H., Doebling, S.W., Hemez, F.M., Anderson, M.C., Pepin, J.E., & Rodriguez, E.A. (Oct 2004). *Concepts of Model Verification and Validation* (LA--14167). United States.
- The State University of New York Collaborative Online International Learning (SUNY COIL) Center. (2024). *Connect. Engage. Collaborate.* Retrieved from <u>https://coil.suny.edu</u>
- Zascerinska, J., Aleksejeva, A., Zascerinskis, M., & Abjalkiene, I. (2022). A Cross-Sectoral Approach to STEM Education: A Multi-Perspective Design. Society. Integration. Education. Proceedings of the International Scientific Conference. Volume I, 846-856. DOI: https://doi.org/10.17770/sie2022vol1.6817
- Zascerinska, J., Aleksejeva, A., Zascerinskis, M., Abjalkiene, I., Aleksejeva, L., & Gukovica, O., (2022). HyFlex Collaboration in Cross-Sectoral STEM Education: Survey Analysis. *Current Issues of Business and Law*, 2(3), 130-141. Retrieved from <u>https://mokslomedis.lt/file/manual/NR.%202_2022_CIBL.pdf</u>
- Zascerinska, J., Aleksejeva, A., Zascerinskis, M., Gukovica, O., Aleksejeva, L., & Abjalkiene, I. (2021). Mixed Class Teaching as an Emerging Trend Accelerated by COVID-19. *Education. Innovation. Diversity*, 2(3), 53-65 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.17770/eid2021.2.6720</u>
- Zascerinska, J., Emet, S., Usca, S. & Bikova, A. (2023). STEM Education: A Comparative Study of Platforms in Selected Countries. 2023 International Interdisciplinary PhD Workshop (IIPhDW), 1-6, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/IIPhDW54739.2023.10124402
- Zaščerinska, J. (2013). Development of Students' Communicative Competence within English for Academic Purposes Studies. Verlag: Mensch & Buch.
- Zaščerinska, J., Aleksejeva, L., Zaščerinskis, M., Gukovica, O., & Aleksejeva, A. (2021). The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Business in Africa: Implications for Building an EU-AU Partnership of Equals, *Regional Formation and Development Studies Journal of Social Sciences*, 1(33), 153-163. Klaipeda: Klaipeda University Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.15181/rfds.v33i1.2207</u>
- Zaščerinska, J., Andreeva, N., & Aleksejeva, L. (2015). Use of Role Models within English for Academic Studies. The proceedings of Riga Teacher Training and Educational Management Academy's 10th International Young Scientist Conference. Riga: Riga Teacher Training and Educational Management Academy, 129-137.
- Zaščerinska, J., Zaščerinskis, M., Gloņina, O., Aleksejeva, A., Sowinska-Milewska, D., & Andreeva, N. (2016). Portrait of Teacher Trainer in Non-Formal Adult Education: A Comparative Study. *The Proceedings of the Riga Teacher Training and Educational Management Academy's 11th International Young Scientist Conference / Rīgas Pedagoģijas un izglītības vadības akadēmijas XI starptautiskās jauno zinātnieku konferences rakstu krājums*, pp. 140-148. Rīga: Rīgas Pedagoģijas un izglītības vadības akadēmija, 148 lpp / Riga: Riga Teacher Training and Educational Management Academy, 148. Retrieved from http://www.rpiva.lv/pdf/JZK_XI.pdf.