Influence of the Parameters of the Laser Marking Process on the Depth of Penetration in Layerreinforced Composites

Simeon Tsenkulovski

dep. "Mechanical Engineering and Instrumentation" Technical university-Gabrovo Gabrovo, Bulgaria s.tsenkulovski@advanced-technology.eu

Abstract. In the present study, we track the influence of the change in the energy parameters of a laser installation based on Fiber laser - RFFL-P-502B, on the depth of penetration in marking layer-reinforced polymer-based composites. To determine their influence on the depth of the marked strokes, experiments were carried out at speed V= $50\div250$ mm/s, output power P = $5\div50$ W, pulse frequency f = 50 kHz and diameter of the focal spot 40μ m. Tabular results and graphical dependences of the obtained experimental results are presented.

Keywords: plasma marking, textolite, glass textolite, marking stroke depth

I. INTRODUCTION

The successful development of quantum electronics in the second half of the last century created good conditions for the development of laser technologies [1]-[5]. Lasers, by their very nature, are generators of electromagnetic waves in the ultraviolet, visible and infrared spectrum of radiation, where the light waves are characterized by a high degree of monochromaticity and high coherence. Thanks to these qualities, lasers can focus on extremely small surfaces, theoretically commensurate with the square of the wavelength of light. In this situation, modern laser systems can reach record levels of energy concentration, giving new possibilities in the heat treatment of metals - fig. 1[1].

The process of laser marking has entered massively in the production of metal products and tools, semiconductor devices, glass and ceramic products, but in recent years it has become more and more widespread in the marking of non-metallic materials as an alternative to traditional marking methods [6] -[13]. Ivan Mitev dep. " Economics" Technical university-Gabrovo Gabrovo, Bulgaria imitev@tugab.bg



This is an innovative method that is very different from marking in any other way, without the use of consumables. Through the laser beam, the surfaces of the products are processed extremely precisely, qualitatively, quickly and have clear contours. Laser marking is a noncontact impact on the structure of the processed material, resulting in a permanent contrast image. Information in the form of: inscriptions, identification symbols (letters and numbers), bar codes, matrix codes (2D), special characters, serial numbers, images, decoration, etc. can be applied to the surface of the product with this method. [14]-[16]. In practice, with the help of the laser, it is very easy to create an arbitrary image of your own design.

Print ISSN 1691-5402 Online ISSN 2256-070X <u>https://doi.org/10.17770/etr2024vol3.8147</u> © 2024 Simeon Tsenkulovski, Ivan Mitev. Published by Rezekne Academy of Technologies. This is an open access article under the <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License</u>. Simeon Tsenkulovski et al. Influence of the Parameters of the Laser Marking Process on the Depth of Penetration in Layer-reinforced Composites

The development of the innovative industry -"Industry 4.0" in recent years has led to the implementation of innovative non-conventional technological processes in modern production, while at the same time stimulating the use of non-conventional materials, most often various types of organic and polymer-based composites [17] - [19].

In this regard, the aim of the present study is to follow the influence of the laser marking process on the penetration depth of the laser beam when marking layerreinforced polymer-based composites.

II. MATERIALS AND METODS

In the present study, textolite samples with a thickness of 10 mm and mechanical characteristics according to DIN 7753/PFCC 202 and IEC 60893 HGW 2082, as well as glass textolite samples of type PTGC 201 with a thickness of 10 mm and mechanical characteristics according to IEC/EN 60893-3-1 were used.

The qualitative analysis of laser marking was performed on a PHILIPS URD measuring microscope - fig. 2 using INSIZE ISD software -V150 - fig.3.



Fig.2. General view of PHILIPS URD measuring microscope



Fig.3. Software for 2D and 3D INSIZE ISD - V150



Fig. 4. ZEISS type profilometer

The roughness of the examined surfaces was measured with a portable ZEISS type roughness meter - fig.3, according to the requirements of ISO 4287 ISO 12085. The technical characteristics of the device are:

- Measuring range for Ra are 0.050 ÷10.00 μm and for Rz are 0.020 ÷100.0 μm;
- Resolution 0.001 μm;
- Measuring sensor SB10 (R=2μm, 90°);
- Measuring length 0.25 0.8 2.5 mm;
- Number of measurements at one positioning 1÷5;

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The main technological parameters in the laser marking of polymer-based layered composite materials are the marking speed and the output power of the laser unit. To determine their influence on the depth of the marked strokes, experiments were made at marking speed V= $50\div250$ mm/s, output power P = $5\div50$ W, pulse frequency f = 50 kHz and diameter of the focal spot 40 µm. The depth of penetration – δ µm, was determined on a measuring microscope (Fig. 2). The measurements were made at 5 control points located along the marked line at a distance of 10 mm. The obtained results are presented in graphic form - fig. 4 and 5.

When conducting the experiments, it was found that with an output power of the laser radiation up to 20W and a marking speed of 50mm/s, the measured values for the depth of the marking varied within the limits of $20\div80$ µm, and with an increase in the output power from 20 to 50W, the fluctuations of the experimental results reach limits of $100\div300$ µm.







Fig.5. Change in the depth of the laser marking on samples of glass textolite depending on the power of the laser radiation at a frequency of 50 Hz and speed: a - 50 mm/s; b - 100 mm/s; c - 150 mm/s; d - 200 mm/s; e - 250 mm/s.

This is a result of the different vaporization temperature of the reinforcing and matrix phases making up the polymer composite.

Theoretically, for non-metallic materials, the maximum penetration depth of the laser beam – δ max, can be calculated with the expression 1 [20]:

$$\delta_{\text{max}} = 2P/(\pi.r.\rho.\upsilon.c.T)$$
(1)





Simeon Tsenkulovski et al. Influence of the Parameters of the Laser Marking Process on the Depth of Penetration in Layer-reinforced Composites





Fig. 6. Change in the depth of the laser marking on textolite samples depending on the power of the laser radiation at a frequency of 50 Hz and speed: a - 50 mm/s; b - 100 mm/s; c - 150 mm/s; d - 200 mm/s; e - 250 mm/s.

ρ – material density, g/cm³;
υ – marking speed, mm/s;
c – specific heat, cal/g.°C;
T – evaporation temperature, °C.

Using reference data for the studied materials, the maximum theoretical values of the penetration depth during laser marking were calculated - δ max (Figs. 4 and 5).

Comparing the theoretical and experimental results, it can be seen that, according to formula 1, the penetration depth is linearly dependent on the power of the laser radiation. The obtained experimental results when marking the glass textolite samples show that this is valid only at low marking powers – up to 20 W. In them, the experimental results differ from the theoretical ones by less than 10%. As the output power values increase above 20 W, the margin in the measured marking depth values increases from 4 to 30%. The biggest differences are fixed in the samples marked with minimum speed – $50\div100$ mm/s. At high marking speeds, the differences decrease, and at a speed of 250 mm/s, the experimental results overlap with the theoretically calculated ones.

The results for the marking of the textolite samples are also similar. At output power up to 15 W, the obtained results differ by $10\div15$ %, with an increase in output power above 15 W, the differences in measured values range from 4 to 27 %. At high marking speeds – $200\div250$ mm/s, the differences between the measured and theoretical curves are minimal – below 10 % and in practice they overlap.

In all investigated marking modes, the results obtained on the glass-textolite samples were better compared to those obtained on the textolite samples. This is related to the reflectivity of the material - R.

It is known that the laser effect on matter under incident radiation is different for different non-metallic materials and is related to the reflection and absorption of the radiation. When a parallel beam of rays falls on a smooth non-metallic surface, it is reflected, and the rays are also parallel to each other. On rough surfaces, the incident parallel beam of rays is reflected in different directions and diffuse reflection occurs. The reflectivity -R, is a dimensionless quantity and can have values from 0 to 1. It is a function of the wavelength of the laser radiation - R = f (λ) and is defined by the relation 2 [21].

$$R=J_T / J_o$$
(2)

where: JT – intensity of the reflected beam; Jo - intensity of incident radiation.

The reflectivity - R, mainly depends on the condition of the treated surface [2]. Its main characteristic is the roughness class. As the roughness class of the processed surface increases, the reflective ability decreases. Wavelength roughness significantly increases the penetration depth of laser radiation.

In the particular case, the radiation length is λ =1.06 μ m.

The roughness of the marked surfaces at five random points was measured with a ZEISS profilometer and the results are presented in Table 1.

It can be seen that for textolite samples the average roughness – Ra, is close to the wavelength of the laser radiation, but the values for Rz are more than 4 times larger than the wavelength. This leads to significant scattering of the laser radiation power and reduced values for δ .

N⁰	Ra	$\Sigma Ra_{1+5}/5$	Rz	$\Sigma Rz_{1+5}/5$
textolite				
1	1,026		4,337	
2	1,018		4,409	
3	1,024	1,021	4,381	4,375
4	1,018		4,376	
5	1,019		4,372	
glass textolite				
1	0,231		1,705	
2	0,219		1,709	
3	0,219	0,225	1,701	1,703
4	0,217]	1,701	
5	0,229		1,699	

Table 1 Surface roughness.



Fig.7. Influence of the marking speed on the depth of the marking strokes on the examined samples at radiation power: 1-50 W; 2-25 W and 3-5 Wa-textolite; b - glass textolite

For the glass-textolite samples – Table 1, the values for Ra 0.225 μ m and are more than 4 times smaller than the length of the laser radiation, and for Rz = 1.703 μ m they are commensurate with the length of the incident radiation.

This also explains the differences in the values for δ , for the two materials studied by us.

On the basis of the obtained experimental results, graphical dependencies were developed for the influence of the marking speed on the depth of the formed marking strokes - fig. 7.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The following more important conclusions can be formulated from the conducted research and the results obtained:

Simeon Tsenkulovski et al. Influence of the Parameters of the Laser Marking Process on the Depth of Penetration in Layer-reinforced Composites

The influence of the technological parameters (P = $5\div50$ W; V+50÷250 mm/s) on the depth of the formed slit during laser marking with pulse frequency f = 50 kHz and diameter of the focal spot 40µm was monitored of layered polymer-based composites.

Graphical dependencies have been developed for the influence of technological parameters of laser marking of layered polymer composites on the depth of the formed marking strokes.

It has been proven that with an output power of laser radiation up to 20 W and a marking speed of 50mm/s, the measured values for the marking depth vary in the range of $20\div80$ µm, and when the output power is increased from 20 to 50 W, the fluctuations of the experimental results reach limits of $100\div300$ µm, which is the result of the different evaporation temperature of the reinforcing and matrix phase making up the polymer composite.

It has been shown that the better results of the glasstextolite samples compared to the textolite ones are due to the better reflectivity of the glass-textolite

REFERENCES

- Mitev, I., Unconventional electrotechnological processes, EKS-PRESS, Gabrovo, 2020, ISBN 978-954-490-698-7
- [2] Amara EH, Haïd F, Noukaz A. Experimental investigations on fiber laser color marking of steels. Appl Surf Sci 2015;351:1– 12. doi:10.1016/j.apsusc.2015.05.095.
- [3] Han A, Gubencu D. Analysis of the laser marking technologies. Nonconventional Technol. Rev. 2008;4:17–22.
- [4] Ready JF, et al. LIA handbook of laser materials processing. New York: Springer Verlag; 2001.
- [5] Leone C, Genna S, Caprino G, De Iorio I. AISI 304 stainless steel marking by a Qswitched diode pumped Nd:YAG laser. J Mater Process Technol 2010;210(10):1297– 303. doi:10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2010.03.018.
- [6] Astarita A, Genna S, Leone C, Memola Capece Minutolo F, Squillace A, Velotti C. Study of the laser marking process of cold sprayed titanium coatings on aluminium substrates. Opt Laser Technol 2016;83:168–76. doi:10.1016/j.optlastec.2016.04.007
- [7] Leone C, Lopresto V, De Iorio I. Wood engraving by Qswitched diodepumped frequency-doubled Nd:YAG green laser. Opt Lasers Eng 2009;47(1):161–8. doi:10.1016/j. optlaseng.2008.06.019.
- [8] Leone C, Genna S, Tagliaferri F, Palumbo B, Dix M. Experimental investigation on laser milling of aluminium oxide using a 30W Q-switched Yb:YAG fiber laser. Opt Laser Technol 2016;76:127–37. doi:10.1016/j.optlastec. 2015.08.005.
- [9] Petutschnigg A, Stöckler M, Steinwendner F, Schnepps J, Gütler H, Blinzer J, Holzer H, Schnabel T. Laser treatment of wood surfaces for ski cores: an experimental parameter study.

Adv Mater Sci Eng 2013 art. N°123085. doi:10.1155/2013/123085.

- [10]Shin Y, Kim Y, Park S, Jung B, Lee J, Nelson JS. Pit and rim formation during laser marking of acrylonitrile butadiene styrene plastic. J Laser Appl 2005;17(4):243–6. doi:10.2351/1.2080405
- [11]Genna S, Leone C, Lopresto V, Tagliaferri V. An experimental study on the surface mechanisms formation during the laser milling of PMMA. Polym Compos 2015;36(6):1063–71. doi:10.1002/pc.23442.
- [12]Tuz L. Quality of marks on metals made with the use of the Nd:YAG laser engraving method. Metall Foundry Eng. 2013;39(1):55–64. doi:10.7494/mafe.2013.39.1.55.
- [13]Peter J, Doloi B, Bhattacharyya B. Parametric analysis of Nd: YAG laser marking on ceramics. Int J Manuf Tech Manage 2011;24(1-4):124–38. doi:10.1504/IJMTM.2011.046764
- [14]Li J, Lu C, Wang A, Wu Y, Ma Z, Fang X, Tao L. Experimental investigation and mathematical modeling of laser marking two-dimensional barcodes on surfaces of aluminum alloy. J Manuf Process2016;21:141–52. doi:10.1016/j.jmapro.2015.12.007.
- [15]Dumont Th, Lippert T, Wokaun A, Leyvraz P. Laser writing of 2D data matrices in glass. Thin Solid Films 2004;453-454:42–5. doi:10.1016/j.tsf.2003.11.148.
- [16]Li XS, He WP, Lei L, Wang J, Guo GF, Zhang TY, Yue T. Laser direct marking applied to rasterizing miniature Data Matrix Code on aluminum alloy. Opt Laser Technol 2016;77:31–9. doi:10.1016/j.optlastec.2015.08.020.
- [17]Petrova, D., Intelligent, Innovative and Sustainable Industry in Bulgaria – prospects and challenges, Vide I. Tehnologija. Resursi - Environment, Technology, Resources, Proceeding of the 12th International Scientific and Practical Conference "Environment. Technology. Resources", June 20-22, 2019, Rezekne, Latvia, Volume I, pp. 210-215, ISSN 1691-5402 – print, ISSN 2256-070X – online
- [18]Petrova, D., An Alternative Approach to Reducing Aging of Innovative Industrial Products in Terms of Industry 4.0, Environment. Technology. Recourses – Proceeding of the 13-th International Scientific and Practical Conference, Rezekne Academy of Technologies, Rezekne, Latvia, 2021, ISSN 1691-5402, Online ISSN 2256-070X, p. 274-280, scopus
- [19]Petrova, D., An Approach to Modeling Innovation Obsolescence, Vide. Tehnologija. Resursi - Environment, Technology, Resources, Proceeding of the 14th International Scientific and Practical Conference, 2023, Volume I, pp. 175– 179, Print ISSN 1691-5402, Online ISSN 2256-070X, DOI
- [20]Park YW, Kim T, Rhee S. Development of a monitoring system for quality prediction in laser marking using fuzzy theory. J Laser Appl 2007;19(1):55–63. doi:10.2351/1.2402519.
- [21]Zelenska KS, Zelensky SE, Poperenko LV, Kanev K, Mizeikis V, Gnatyuk V. A. Opt Laser Technol 2016;76:96–100. doi:10.1016/j.optlastec.2015.07.011.